

CLAIMS

1. A method for segmenting a 2D gel image by associating initial protein seed candidates with surrounding regions **characterised by** comprising the following
5 steps:
 - defining at least one interface circumscribing an initial seed in its immediate surrounding,
 - defining a velocity function $F(x, y)$ for said interface,
 - bringing said interface to evolve in accordance with $F(x, y)$,
 - 10 - defining at least one stopping criterion C and stopping the evolution of said interface in accordance with said criterion,
 - associating the area inside said stopped interface with said initial seed.
2. The method according to claim 1 **characterised by**
15 - calculating the time of arrival, $T_a(x, y)$ for said evolving interface in pixels surrounding said initial seed
 - defining said stopping criterion C so that C depends on $T_a(x, y)$ in the pixel representing the latest circumscribed pixel by said evolving interface and/or functions thereof.
- 20 3. The method according to claim 2 **characterised by**
 - that said stopping criterion C depends on the gradient T_a' of $T_a(x, y)$ in the pixel representing the latest circumscribed pixel by said evolving interface and/or functions thereof.
- 25 4. The method according to claim 1 **characterised by** defining said stopping criterion C so that C depends on $F(x, y)$ and/or functions thereof.
5. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that the evolution
30 of said interface is carried out by
 - defining and calculating a time of arrival, $T_a(x, y)$, for a set of trial candidate pixels,
 - identifying the trial candidate pixel P_{Tmin} with the smallest T_a , and
 - letting the interface evolve to said trial candidate pixel P_{Tmin} .
- 35 6. The method according to claim 5 **characterised by**
 - rejecting a trial candidate pixel as a candidate pixel if it is established that said candidate trial pixel constitutes a pixel representing a known pixel associated with an evolving interface originating from another initial seed.

7. The method according to any of above claims 1-4 **characterised in** that the evolution of said interface is carried out by
- an iterative calculation of $T_a(x, y)$ for a set of candidate pixels,
 - 5 - defining and calculating a departure time, T_d , for said candidate pixels,
 - identifying the candidate pixel P_{Td} with the smallest T_d ,
 - letting the interface propagate to said pixel points, P_{Td} , outside or inside neighbours depending on the sign of the speed function F in said point P_{Td} .
- 10 8. The method according to claim 7 **characterised by**
- rejecting a trial candidate pixel as a candidate pixel if it is established that said trial candidate pixel constitutes a pixel representing a known pixel associated with an evolving interface and that the value of the speed function $F(x, y)$ in said trial candidate pixel is positive.
- 15 9. The method according to any of above claims **characterised by** the following steps:
- defining a first function $F_1(x, y)$,
 - defining at least a second function $F_2(x, y)$ differing from $F_1(x, y)$,
 - 20 - defining a criterion $C2$ for at least an amount of pixels inside a region of said image,
- wherein said criterion $C2$ defines whether $F_1(x, y)$ or $F_2(x, y)$ is valid for said amount of pixels.
- 25 10. The method according to claim 9 **characterised in** that said criterion $C2$ is a criterion for identifying saturated regions.
11. The method according to claim 1 **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the intensity function $I(x, y)$ for said image and/or functions thereof.
- 30 12. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the distance to said initial seed and/or functions thereof.
13. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$
- 35 depends on the curvature of said evolving interface and/or functions thereof.
14. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the normal direction of said evolving interface and/or functions thereof.

15. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the curvature of the intensity function $I(x, y)$ and/or functions thereof.
16. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the gradient $G(x, y)$ of the intensity function $I(x, y)$ for said image and/or functions thereof.
17. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the shape of said evolving interface and/or functions thereof.
18. The method according to any of above claims **characterised in** that $F(x, y)$ depends on the angle between the intensity gradient, \bar{G} , of $I(x, y)$, and a vector \bar{V} representing the instantaneous distance to (x, y) .
19. A computer program element to be used for the segmentation of a 2D gel image by associating initial protein seed candidates with surrounding regions, said program element **characterised in** that it comprises computer program code means making a computer execute the steps defined by any of above claims 1-18:
20. A computer readable medium **characterised in that** it comprises computer program code means according to claim 19.
21. A system for processing 2D gel images comprising a computer **characterised in that** said computer has access to the program element according to claim 19.